

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

*Eastern District of
Pennsylvania*

Full Caption in District Court:

Edmund T. Kennedy.
(Plaintiff)

Docket No.:

18-cv-00257

Judge:

Leeson

Notice of Appeal to the
U.S. Court of Appeals for the
Third Circuit

v.

*Commissioner, Department
of Treasury.*
(Defendant)

Notice is hereby given that *Edmund T. Kennedy*
(Named Party)
appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit from

[] Judgment, [☒] Order, [] Other _____

(Specify)

of the United States District Court, District of New Jersey, entered in this action on

~~*8/19/2018*~~
(Date) *July 16, 2018*

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Dated

8/15/2018

ST Kennedy

Appellant

Edmund T. Kennedy

401 Tillman Rd.

Street

Berlin, PA 1803

City, State, Zip

415 275 1244

Telephone

Exhibit 1, Order July 16, 2018

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD T. KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER, *DEPARTMENT OF THE
TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE*;
JOHN DOE, *DEPARTMENT OF THE
TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE*,

Defendants.

No. 5:18-cv-00257


FILED JUL 16 2018

ORDER

AND NOW, this 16th day of July, 2018, upon consideration of Plaintiff's document titled "Take Judicial Cognizance," filed July 9, 2018, stating that he "wishes a new judge to administrate his complaint," ECF No. 35, and Plaintiff's document titled "Objection to Order," filed July 13, 2018, stating that he objects to the Court's previous orders and "wishes the assigned Judge to resign from office," it is **ORDERED** that:

1. Plaintiff's requests and objections are **DENIED**;¹
2. Plaintiff is reminded that this case is **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:


JOSEPH F. LEESON, JR.
United States District Judge

¹ On June 18, 2018, this Court granted the United States of America's Motion to Dismiss, dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice, and closed this case. ECF Nos. 25, 26. On June 25, 2018, Plaintiff filed two documents titled "Notice and Objections to Order." ECF Nos. 32, 33. In an Order issued July 2, the Court construed these filings as motions to reconsider the Court's Order dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint, and it denied any relief. Likewise here, Plaintiff's filings have failed to present any grounds for the Court to reconsider its previous orders.